

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

An improved species distribution model for Scots pine and downy oak under future climate change in the NW Italian Alps

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1533542> since 2015-12-15T14:46:12Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1007/s13595-014-0439-4

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Dear Author

Here are the proofs of your article.

- You can submit your corrections **online**, via **e-mail** or by **fax**.
- For **online** submission please insert your corrections in the online correction form. Always indicate the line number to which the correction refers.
- You can also insert your corrections in the proof PDF and **email** the annotated PDF.
- For **fax** submission, please ensure that your corrections are clearly legible. Use a fine black pen and write the correction in the margin, not too close to the edge of the page.
- Remember to note the **journal title**, **article number**, and **your name** when sending your response via e-mail or fax.
- **Check** the metadata sheet to make sure that the header information, especially author names and the corresponding affiliations are correctly shown.
- **Check** the questions that may have arisen during copy editing and insert your answers/corrections.
- **Check** that the text is complete and that all figures, tables and their legends are included. Also check the accuracy of special characters, equations, and electronic supplementary material if applicable. If necessary refer to the *Edited manuscript*.
- The publication of inaccurate data such as dosages and units can have serious consequences. Please take particular care that all such details are correct.
- Please **do not** make changes that involve only matters of style. We have generally introduced forms that follow the journal's style.
- Substantial changes in content, e.g., new results, corrected values, title and authorship are not allowed without the approval of the responsible editor. In such a case, please contact the Editorial Office and return his/her consent together with the proof.
- If we do not receive your corrections **within 48 hours**, we will send you a reminder.
- Your article will be published **Online First** approximately one week after receipt of your corrected proofs. This is the **official first publication** citable with the DOI. **Further changes are, therefore, not possible.**
- The **printed version** will follow in a forthcoming issue.

Please note

After online publication, subscribers (personal/institutional) to this journal will have access to the complete article via the DOI using the URL:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13595-014-0439-4>

If you would like to know when your article has been published online, take advantage of our free alert service. For registration and further information, go to:

<http://www.link.springer.com>.

Due to the electronic nature of the procedure, the manuscript and the original figures will only be returned to you on special request. When you return your corrections, please inform us, if you would like to have these documents returned.

Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

Please note: Images will appear in color online but will be printed in black and white.

1	Article Title	An improved species distribution model for Scots pine and downy oak under future climate change in the NW Italian Alps	
2	Article Sub- Title		
3	Article Copyright - Year	INRA and Springer-Verlag France 2014 (This will be the copyright line in the final PDF)	
4	Journal Name	Annals of Forest Science	
5	Corresponding Author	Family Name	Vacchiano
6		Particle	
7		Given Name	Giorgio
8		Suffix	
9		Organization	Università degli Studi di Torino
10		Division	Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali e Alimentari
11		Address	Largo Braccini 2, Grugliasco 10095, TO, Italy
12		e-mail	gvacchiano@gmail.com
13	Author	Family Name	Motta
14		Particle	
15		Given Name	Renzo
16		Suffix	
17		Organization	Università degli Studi di Torino
18		Division	Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali e Alimentari
19		Address	Largo Braccini 2, Grugliasco 10095, TO, Italy
20		e-mail	
21	Schedule	Received	16 March 2014
22		Revised	
23		Accepted	10 November 2014
24	Abstract		
25	Keywords separated by ' - '	Drought - Pine decline - <i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L. - Potential niche - <i>Quercus pubescens</i> Willd - Succession	
26	Foot note information	Handling Editor: Thomas WOHL GEMUTH GV designed the study, conducted the analysis, and wrote the paper. RM supervised the work and revised the manuscript.	

The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s13595-014-0439-4) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Electronic supplementary material

ESM 1
(JPEG 303 kb)

ESM 2
(JPEG 246 kb)

ESM 3
(JPEG 248 kb)

An improved species distribution model for Scots pine and downy oak under future climate change in the NW Italian Alps

Giorgio Vacchiano · Renzo Motta

Received: 16 March 2014 / Accepted: 10 November 2014
© INRA and Springer-Verlag France 2014

Abstract

• **Context** Scots pine is currently declining in most inner alpine sectors of southern Europe. The relative contribution of climate, land use change, and disturbances on the decline is poorly understood. What will be the future distribution of the species? Is vegetation shifting toward oak-dominated forests? What is the role of extreme drought years?

• **Aims** The aims of the study were to determine drivers of current distribution of Scots pine and downy oak in Aosta valley (SW Alps), to extrapolate species distribution models to year 2080 (Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES) A1B), and to assess the ability of pine vitality response to the extreme droughts in 2003 and 2006 to predict modeled vegetation changes.

• **Methods** Ensemble distribution models were created using climate, topography, soil, competition, natural disturbances, and land use. Species presence was derived from a regional forest inventory. Pine response to drought of 2003–2006 was assessed by Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) differencing and correlated to modeled cover change between 2080 and present.

• **Results** Scots pine and downy oak were more likely to occur under higher climatic aridity. Scots pine was also associated to higher wildfire frequency, land use intensity, and lack of

competition. In a warming scenario, pine experienced an elevational displacement. This was partially counteracted if no land abandonment was hypothesized. Downy oak cover increased in all scenarios. Short- and long-term drought responses of pine were unrelated.

• **Conclusion** Warming will induce an upward displacement of pine, but this can be partially mitigated by maintaining a more intense land use. The drought-induced decline in pine vitality after extreme years did not overlap to the modeled species response under climate warming; responses to short-term drought must be more thoroughly understood in order to predict community shifts.

Keywords Drought · Pine decline · *Pinus sylvestris* L. · Potential niche · *Quercus pubescens* Willd · Succession

1 Introduction

Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) forests at the southern edge of their distribution are currently facing decline and succession, resulting from a combination of climate warming, land use changes, and increased abiotic and biotic disturbances (Gimmi et al. 2010; Vacchiano et al. 2012).

From a physiological standpoint, drought has been identified as the primary driver of pine decline, as it affects foliage production, carbon allocation (Galiano et al. 2010), cambial activity (Eilmann et al. 2011; Oberhuber et al. 2011), hydraulic capacity (Sterck et al. 2008), and the likelihood of xylem cavitation (Martínez-Vilalta and Piñol 2002). Additionally, drought can predispose weakened trees to inciting mortality agents, such as mistletoe, bark beetles, or root-rot fungi (Dobbertin et al. 2007; Gonthier et al. 2010; Rigling et al. 2010; Sangüesa-Barreda et al. 2013).

On top of this, at the landscape level, Scots pine forests in southern Europe have recently experienced a decrease in

Handling Editor: Thomas WOHL GEMUTH

Contribution of the coauthors GV designed the study, conducted the analysis, and wrote the paper. RM supervised the work and revised the manuscript.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s13595-014-0439-4) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

G. Vacchiano (✉) · R. Motta
Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali e Alimentari, Università degli Studi di Torino, Largo Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco, TO, Italy
e-mail: gvacchiano@gmail.com

management intensity, shifting from open-canopy, even-aged stands maintained by broadleaves coppicing, wood pasture, litter raking, and pitch collection (Gimmi et al. 2007) to denser forests following depopulation of mountain areas and abandonment of traditional land use practices. Under such scenario, succession by mid-tolerant species such as downy oak (*Quercus pubescens* Willd.) is favored over Scots pine regeneration (Urbiet al. 2011; Rigling et al. 2013). Both mechanisms, land use changes and climate extremes, are at work at the same time (Gimmi et al. 2010), determining feedbacks and interactions difficult to disentangle and providing a challenge for forecasting future vegetation patterns.

Recession of Scots pine forests in southern European landscapes would affect the provision of important ecosystem services, such as protection from hydrogeological hazards, plant and animal diversity, timber, and recreation. A shift from Scots pine to oak can also be problematic because of the loss of useful life traits, as the ability to rapidly colonize open or disturbed ground (Vacchiano et al. 2013). Predictions of future vegetation changes and knowledge of the suitability of pine vs. oak to expected environmental conditions will help managers in developing adaptation strategies to sustain the fulfillment of the desired forest functions (Chmura et al. 2011).

The aims of this work were (1) to detect drivers of current pine and oak occurrence in a mountain region of the southwestern Alps, by fitting species distribution models (SDM) on climate, soil, anthropogenic stand structure, and disturbance-related predictors; (2) to apply the models using future (2080) scenarios, in order to assess if and where vegetation shifts are likely to occur under climate and management changes; and (3) to compare the effects of the Europe-wide drought events of 2003 and 2006 (Thabeet et al. 2010) on Scots pine vitality against SDM predictions in 2080, in order to assess the potential role of extreme drought response as an early warning of future vegetation changes.

2 Methods

2.1 Study species

Scots pine is the most widespread coniferous species in Europe and the most widespread pine in the world (Mirov 1967). Scots pine is a species of continental climates, able to grow in areas with annual precipitation ranging from 200 to 1800 mm (Burns and Honkala 1990). The upper/northern and lower/southern limits of the species correspond with isotherms -1°C (mean temperature of the coldest month) and $+33^{\circ}\text{C}$ (mean temperature of the warmest month), respectively (Dahl 1998), even if pine can tolerate more extreme temperatures without tissue damage, especially at the cold end (-90°C : Sakai and Okada 1971).

Scots pine is a light-demanding, early seral species that can establish both in acid and limestone soils (Richardson 1998; Debain et al. 2003). Its ecology is largely characterized by stress tolerance. On the one hand, this allows it to occupy a range of habitats that are unfavorable to other tree species, through tolerating various combinations of climatic and edaphic stress (Richardson 1998). On the other hand, this implies that Scots pine is excluded from more favorable sites through competition. In recent decades, it was favored by past fires (Gobet et al. 2003), by heavy forest cuts, and by the recent increase of fallow lands (Farrell et al. 2000; Kräuchi et al. 2000; Caplat et al. 2006; Picon-Cochard et al. 2006). In the absence of disturbances, it will eventually be overgrown or replaced by broadleaves or mixed broad-leaved coniferous forest. However, in the drier, central alpine sectors ($<700\text{ mm year}^{-1}$ rainfall), Scots pine often forms stable communities due to limited competitiveness of other conifer tree species (Ozenda 1985).

Scots pine populations are negatively affected by drought in all demographic processes, i.e., regeneration (Carnicer et al. 2014, Galiano et al. 2013), growth (Vilà-Cabrera et al. 2011), and mortality (Dobbertin et al. 2005; Bigler et al. 2006). On the other hand, downy oak exhibits better ecophysiological adaptations (Nardini and Pitt 1999; Eilmann et al. 2006, 2009; Zweifel et al. 2009) and higher growth (Weber et al. 2008) under comparable climate conditions. Oaks also have an advantage over Scots pine in the regeneration phase following stand-replacing fire, owing to their resprouting ability—as opposed to limitations in Scots pine regeneration due to short dispersal distance and obligate seeder traits (Moser et al. 2010; Vacchiano et al. 2013). Such differences, and the fact that oaks are characterized by lower shade intolerance, make them a suitable species for secondary succession of declining or outcompeted pine stands.

2.2 Study area

The study area covers the Aosta Valley region in Northwestern Italy (3262 km^2) (Fig. 1). Topography is shaped by a main east–west-oriented valley with several north–south protrusions. Mean annual temperature in Aosta ($45^{\circ}26'\text{N}$, $7^{\circ}11'\text{E}$, 583 m a.s.l.) is 10.9°C (years 1961–1990; Tetrarca et al. 1999). Climate is warm-summer continental (Dfb) according to the Köppen classification (Peel et al. 2007); July and January monthly means may differ by as much as 22°C . Mean annual rainfall in Aosta amounts to very low values in comparison with localities in other central Alpine valleys (494 mm , years 1961–1990; Biancotti et al. 1998), with a period of water deficit (Bagnouls and Gaussen 1957) extending from June to September. Winter precipitation usually comes as snow. The study area exhibits both crystalline (granites) and metamorphic bedrocks, but most landscape is covered by quaternary deposits of glacial, gravitative, or

colluvial origin. Soils belong to the series of western and central Alpine soil on igneous and metamorphic rocks (Costantini et al. 2004) and are mostly represented by shallow soils (Lithic, Umbric, and Dystric Leptosols), eroded soils (Eutric and Calcaric Regosols), acid soils with organic matter, iron oxides and aluminum accumulation (Dystric Cambisols, Haplic Podzols, Humic Umbrisols), or alluvial soils (Eutric Fluvisols).


Scots pine stands in the study area cover 5372 ha (Gasparini and Tabacchi 2011), i.e., 6 % of the total forest area, and thrive on both acidic and basic substrates of well-exposed, bottom to mid-elevation slopes. Stands dominated by Scots pine are mostly young, averaging 920 trees per hectare (TPHA) and a basal area (BA) of 26 m² ha⁻¹ (Gasparini and Tabacchi 2011). Quadratic mean diameter (QMD) is 21 cm, but trees larger than 35 cm are extremely rare (about 2 %) (Camerano et al. 2007). Stand top height can vary from 10 to 25 m according to site fertility (Vacchiano et al. 2008). Depending on successional stage and climatic factors, species composition may range from 100 % pine (especially on recently disturbed sites or dry, southern slopes) to mixtures with Swiss mountain pine (*Pinus montana* Mill.), European larch (*Larix decidua* Mill.), Norway spruce (*Picea excelsa* Karst.), silver fir (*Abies alba* Mill.), beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.), sessile oak (*Quercus petraea* (Mattus.) Liebl), European chestnut (*Castanea sativa* Mill.), and mostly with downy oak, which has similar thermal and moisture needs.

Downy oak stands cover 3468 ha in the study area (Gasparini and Tabacchi 2011), at elevations of 300–1200 m (but up to 1500 m on rocky outcrops and 1800 m for isolated individuals), predominantly on shallow soils and carbonatic substrates. Xerophilous stands on south-facing slopes are sparse and slow growing (1000 TPHA, BA 20 m² ha⁻¹), with young individuals often developed from former coppices, grazed woodland, or after invasion on abandoned fallow lands (QMD 10–25 cm, mean height 5–10 m) (Gasparini and Tabacchi 2011). Just as in Scots pine, meso-xerophilous stands on north-facing slopes exhibited higher growth (mean height 10–15 m) and a mixture degree. Scots pine and downy oak can replace each other in the course of forest dynamics, e.g., by regeneration of pine in sparse and degraded oak woodlands or the succession of closed-canopy, or declining, pine forests to more tolerant oak (Zavala and Zea 2004).

2.3 Drivers of pine and oak distribution

In order to model the occurrence of Scots pine and downy oak in the study area, we used a diverse set of explanatory variables including vectorial as well as raster information at different spatial resolutions. All variables were resampled at a common spatial resolution of 1 km, i.e., the coarsest resolution among all explanatory variables, and clipped to a land use mask of current forest distribution. In fact, we decided to exclusively include presence/absence of pine and oak in areas

Fig. 1 Location of the study region and area covered by forests (in green)



with forest cover, since the model could be calibrated against current vegetation conditions only. Rasterization of vector layers and raster resampling were carried out by aggregating to cell means if raster grain was finer than 1 km and by bilinear (for continuous layers) or nearest neighbor interpolation (for categorical layers) if grain exceeded 1 km (Figure S1). Explanatory variables included the following:

- (1) Elevation, slope, aspect, southness (i.e., a linearization of aspect: Chang et al. 2004), and topographic position index (TPI: Guisan et al. 1999) computed from a 10-m digital terrain model. A higher TPI is indicative of ridges or hilltops.
- (2) Climate means (years 1961–1990) at a 1-km resolution, extracted from the WorldClim database (Hijmans et al. 2005). These included mean, minimum, and maximum yearly temperatures (T_{MIN} , T_{MEAN} , T_{MAX}), yearly precipitation (P), precipitation cumulated in the growing season (GSP; April–September), and yearly solar radiation (RAD). Additionally, using mean, minimum, and maximum monthly temperature grids, we computed growing degree days (GDD; base temperature = 5 °C) (Fronzek et al. 2011) and an aridity index (AI) as the difference between monthly precipitation and potential evapotranspiration (PET). PET for month i was computed as after Zimmermann et al. (2007).

$$RAD_i(T_{MEAN}_i + 17.8) \frac{(T_{MAX}_i - T_{MIN}_i)}{2} \text{ days}$$

243

- (3) Soil variables at a 1-km resolution, extracted from the European Soil database (European Soil 1999). We selected variables potentially important for tree establishment and growth, namely available water capacity (AWC) of the topsoil, accumulated soil temperature class (ATC), total organic carbon (OC) of the topsoil, base saturation (BS), erodibility (ERO), depth to rock (DR), dominant surface textural class (TEXT), and volume of stones (VS). All variables were coded as dummy values.
- (4) Natural disturbances, such as landslides or severe soil erosion (source: Corine Land Cover 1990 raster coverage, resolution 500 m), avalanche tracks, and wildfires >10 ha for the years 1961–1991 (sources: Regione Autonoma Valle d'Aosta, Ufficio Neve e Valanghe, and Regione Autonoma Valle d'Aosta, Corpo Forestale Regionale, Nucleo Antincendio Boschivi).
- (5) Competition by the pre-existing canopy, assessed by extracting the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) from a Landsat 5 Thematic Mapper image (path 195, row 28) taken on June 30, 1987 (resolution 30 m). The acquisition period was chosen as to be at the peak at the growing season; the image had 10 % cloud cover, but

clouds were clustered over high elevation, unforested terrain. The image was first converted to top of the atmosphere radiance using standard equations and calibration parameters obtained from the metadata of each scene (Chander et al. 2009). Then, we computed NDVI using band TM4 (near infrared) and band TM3 (visible red) and used it as a proxy of standing forest biomass (Tucker 1979; Pettorelli et al. 2005). As an additional index of competition by forest vegetation, we used percent tree cover from the recently released Landsat vegetation continuous field (VCF) dataset (Sexton et al. 2013), at a resolution of 30 m, based on a Landsat 5 TM image acquired on July 27, 2001.

- (6) Land use intensity was assessed by using proxy variables, i.e., total road length and total building surface per 500-m pixel, as extracted from a vector regional map. Moreover, the degree of land abandonment was estimated at the municipality level by the percent variation in resident population in the period 1951–1991 (source: ISTAT).

In order to limit collinearity of independent variables, predictors exhibiting a Pearson's correlation coefficient >|0.9| were excluded from further analysis.

2.4 Model runs under future scenarios

Simulation experiments for the future projections of species distribution relied on the same set of explanatory variables. However, values for variables used in future scenarios were chosen as follows:

- (1) Climate means for the 2080 decade were extracted from 30-arcsec gridded simulations by the ECHAM5/MPI-OM model from the Max-Planck Institute for Meteorology, Germany (Raible et al. 2006), under the high emission scenario Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES) A1B. Under the assumption of a constant solar radiation, we computed GDD, GSP, PET, and AI from the ECHAM-5 grids. For the 2080 scenario, we did not extrapolate the model to pixels exhibiting AI values exceeding the range of current ones (Elith and Leathwick 2009).
- (2) Fire frequency and size are supposedly responsive to climate change (Moriondo et al. 2006). In order to simulate the influence of fire preceding the 2080 decade, we used wildfire polygons for the years 1981–2000, i.e., a period that included several extreme fire seasons resulting in a +39 and +26 % increase in the frequency and total area burned, respectively, by large fires (>10 ha) relative to 1961–1980.
- (3) We simulated two alternative land use scenarios: (1) urbanization and land abandonment, i.e., every municipality was assigned a “business as usual” scenario of

population change using figures for the period 1951–1991 and (2) maintenance of high land use, i.e., all municipalities were assigned 0 % variation in population respective to 1951, thereby assuming a continued presence of man and its activities at all rural settings.

Soil characteristics are also responsive to climate change (Singh et al. 2011); however, we kept these variables at current conditions for the 2080 simulation, since no quantitative scenarios are available to estimate future changes. Altogether, three scenarios were simulated: current conditions, 2080 climate with unchanged land use, and 2080 climate with intense land use.

2.5 Model building

Presence/absence of pine and oak in the years 1992–1994 served as a response variable, which we extracted from a regional forest inventory based on a 500-m regular grid. At every grid node, the species and diameter at breast height (DBH) of each living tree (DBH >7.5 cm) were measured within a variable-radius circular plot (radius 8–15 m depending on tree density). Plot coordinates were recorded to the nearest meter. Scots pine and downy oak were labeled as present where at least one tree of each species was recorded and absent otherwise.

We assumed that both pine and oak distribution are in equilibrium with the environment (Rohde 2005). For this reason, and because our aim was to model potential niche, no migration constraints were included in the model.

We used an ensemble modeling approach (Araujo and New 2007), by fitting and averaging predictions obtained by a generalized linear model (GLM), artificial neural network (ANN), and multiple adaptive regression spline (MARS) using the same set of responses, predictors, and scenarios. Model specifications were as follows: (a) for GLM, a backward stepwise algorithm was used, based on Akaike Information Criterion (AIC); (b) for ANN, the initial number of cross-validations to find best size and decay parameters was set to five; and (c) for MARS, the cost per degree of freedom charge was set to 2, and the model was pruned in a backward stepwise fashion. All models were fit on a binomial distribution with logit link, without interactions between predictors, and using a maximum of 100 iterations.

For each of the three models, we computed variable importance ratings and response curves. To do so, all variables but one are set constant to their median value, and only the remaining one is allowed to vary across its whole range. In the case of categorical variables (e.g., soil), the most represented class was used. The variations observed and the curve thus obtained show the sensibility of the model to that specific variable.

We carried out *k*-fold cross-validation of the model by subdividing the data into a 3:1 proportion (*k*=4). Model

specificity and sensitivity were computed for the selected thresholds; the threshold to convert continuous predictions into binary ones was iteratively chosen to maximize the area under the curve (AUC).

The ensemble prediction was computed from all model realizations with AUC >0.75. The probability of occurrence for the ensemble prediction was the mean of the selected models' predictions, weighted by the model AUC. Model residuals were scrutinized to detect the absence of trends against predicted values and independent variables; a variogram was fitted to assess the degree of residual spatial autocorrelation. Ensemble models were run for the whole study region to obtain a map of potential species distribution under current and future climate, assuming niche conservatism (Wiens et al. 2010). We classified simulated presence/absence of both species using an occurrence probability threshold of 0.6 and assessed projected area changes and elevational shifts in the distribution of pine and oak under the climate change and climate change intense land use scenarios. All analyses were carried out using the biomod2 package (Thuiller et al. 2013) for R (R Development Core Team 2013).

2.6 Effect of extreme drought events

The response of extant Scots pine forests to drought events in years 2003 and 2006 was assessed by the temporal difference in NDVI (ΔNDVI : year of drought – year before drought). NDVI was computed from two 16-day maximum value composite (MVC) MODIS images (resolution 30 arcsec) taken at the end of the summer (Julian days 226–241). Cloud cover of the MVC was between 1 and 4 % for the four images. Pixels with a quality analysis score of 2 and 3 (i.e., targets covered by snow/ice or cloudy pixel) as well as NDVI lower than 0.2 or null (open water) were filtered out (Vacchiano et al. 2012).

In order to distinguish reflectance anomalies from random or systematic error (Morissette and Khorram 2000), we classified as “decline” all pixels with $\Delta\text{NDVI} < (\text{mean} - 3 \text{ standard deviations})$, as computed from the full scene (Fung and LeDrew 1988; Vacchiano et al. 2012). Finally, we compared the modeled change in pine occurrence probability (2080–current) of decline vs. non-decline pixels by means of Wilcoxon signed-rank test (Sokal and Rohlf 1995).

3 Results

Scots pines were detected in 460 (27 %) out of 1730 inventory plots, and downy oak in 181 (10 %). After screening for collinearity, 18 predictors were retained for subsequent analyses (Table 1). Since most climate-related variables were correlated to each other and to elevation, we retained only aridity index (AI) as the main climate predictor; Pearson's

Q12 t1.1 Table 1 Explanatory variables used in this study (minimum, maximum, mean, standard error), computed for currently forested areas only

t1.2	Code	Description	Range	Mean	Units	Resolution
t1.3	Bio01	Mean annual temperature ^a	−0.6–11.1	4.9	°C	30 arcsec
t1.4	Bio05	Max temperature of warmest month ^a	10.8–26.7	18.5	°C	30 arcsec
t1.5	Bio06	Min temperature of coldest month ^a	−10.8–−2.9	−6.9	°C	30 arcsec
t1.6	Bio07	Temperature annual range ^a	21.3–29.6	25.4	°C	30 arcsec
t1.7	Bio10	Mean temperature of warmest quarter ^a	6.4–20	12.8	°C	30 arcsec
t1.8	Bio11	Mean temperature of coldest quarter ^a	−7.2–2	−2.8	°C	30 arcsec
t1.9	Bio12	Annual precipitation ^a	796–1828	1263.3	mm	30 arcsec
t1.10	Bio18	Precipitation of warmest quarter ^a	22–465	335.6	mm	30 arcsec
t1.11	GDD	Growing degree days above 5 °C	257–2656	1181.2	°C	30 arcsec
t1.12	GSP	Precipitation April–September	437–913	663.5	mm	30 arcsec
t1.13	<i>AI</i>	Aridity index (bio12 -PET)	−638–1252	311.0	mm	30 arcsec
t1.14	DTM	Elevation ^b	308–2493	1514.3	m a.s.l.	10 m
t1.15	<i>Slope</i>	Slope from DTM	0–44	17.0	°	10 m
t1.16	<i>TPI</i>	Topographic position Index	−3.0–3.1	−0.4	–	10 m
t1.17	<i>Southness</i>	Linearization of aspect	0–180	97.2	°	10 m
t1.18	<i>ATC</i>	Accumulated Soil temperature class ^c	1–3	–	Dummy	1 km
t1.19	<i>AWC</i>	Available water capacity in the topsoil ^c	1–3	–	Dummy	1 km
t1.20	<i>BS</i>	Soil base saturation ^c	1–2	–	Dummy	1 km
t1.21	<i>DR</i>	Soil depth to rock ^c	1–4	–	Dummy	1 km
t1.22	<i>ERO</i>	Soil erodibility ^c	3–5	–	Dummy	1 km
t1.23	<i>OC</i>	Organic carbon in the topsoil ^c	1–3	–	Dummy	1 km
t1.24	<i>TEXT</i>	Soil texture (from coarse to fine) ^c	0–2	–	Dummy	1 km
t1.25	<i>VS</i>	Volume of stones in the soil ^c	0–2	–	Dummy	1 km
t1.26	<i>Avalanches</i>	Number of avalanche polygons ^b	0–3	0.1	Count	10 m
t1.27	<i>Wildfires</i>	Number of fire polygons, 1961–1990 ^b	0–3	0.0	Count	10 m
t1.28	<i>Erosion</i>	Total area subject to landslide or erosion ^d	0–14,523	656.9	m ²	500 m
t1.29	<i>Depop</i>	Change in population 1951–1991 ^e	−59–135	2.1	% change	Municipality
t1.30	<i>Roads</i>	Total road length ^b	0–8485	1812.0	m	500 m
t1.31	<i>Buildings</i>	Total buildings area ^b	0–40,190	1591.8	m ²	500 m
t1.32	<i>VCF</i>	Tree cover from Landsat (2001) ^f	0–99	29.9	%	30 m
t1.33	<i>NDVI</i>	NDVI from Landsat (1987)	0.20–0.66	0.30	0–1	30 m

Explanatory variables in species distribution models are set in italics

^a Hijmans et al. (2005)

^b Regione Autonoma Valle d'Aosta

^c European Soil (1999)

^d European Environment (2013)

^e ISTAT (2012)

^f Sexton et al. (2013)

415 correlation between AI and WorldClim variables was always
 416 higher than 0.95 (e.g., $R = -0.995$ vs. mean annual tempera-
 417 ture, $R = 0.993$ vs. annual precipitation, $R = -0.962$ vs. GDD).
 418 AI was the most important predictor for the current distri-
 419 bution of both pine and oak (Table 2), with higher occurrence
 420 probability at low water balance levels (Figure S2). However,
 421 MARS captured a reduced probability of occurrence for Scots

pine at very low values of the aridity index (i.e., very dry
 sites). Beyond aridity, variables associated to high probability
 of Scots pine occurrence were southness, TPI, population
 change, building density, and past fires—the last two only in
 the ANN model. Soil erosion, NDVI, and road density (in the
 ANN model) decreased the probability of pine presence
 (Figure S2a). Explanatory variables of oak distribution

t2.1 **Table 2** Variable importance (0–1) for SDM of current Scots pine and
downy oak distribution fitted by generalized linear model (GLM),
artificial neural network (ANN), and multiple adaptive regression spline
(MARS)

t2.2	t2.3 Variable	Scots pine			Downy oak		
		GLM	MARS	ANN	GLM	MARS	ANN
t2.4	AI	0.650	0.645	0.733	0.848	0.830	1.000
t2.5	Slope	0.000	0.086	0.006	0.038	0.087	0.023
t2.6	TPI	0.145	0.162	0.000	0.099	0.103	0.000
t2.7	Southness	0.180	0.393	0.283	0.210	0.269	0.220
t2.8	ATC	0.000	0.017	0.000	0.078	0.042	0.000
t2.9	AWC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
t2.10	DR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.251	0.138	0.000
t2.11	ERO	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
t2.12	OC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.044	0.000	0.000
t2.13	VS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.160	0.000	0.000
t2.14	Avalanches	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
t2.15	Wildfires	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
t2.16	Erosion	0.000	0.061	0.319	0.011	0.028	0.109
t2.17	Depop	0.069	0.029	0.000	0.027	0.041	0.000
t2.18	Roads	0.000	0.000	0.459	0.016	0.029	0.159
t2.19	Buildings	0.000	0.017	0.367	0.027	0.155	0.201
t2.20	VCF	0.000	0.028	0.018	0.000	0.024	0.023
t2.21	NDVI	0.134	0.093	0.000	0.006	0.074	0.000

Codes for explanatory variables are given in Table 1

exhibited a similar behavior: southness and TPI, but also slope, soil depth, and soil temperature class were associated to high presence probability, while road and building densities produced a low presence probability (Figure S2b).

The ensemble models were successfully cross-validated (AUC=0.865 for pine and 0.944 for oak) and correctly predicted most observations (sensitivity=83.4 and 96.9 %, specificity=72.7 and 80.9 %, respectively) (Fig. 2). Residuals were immune from spatial autocorrelation and trends against any of the predictors.

In 2080 (SRES A1B emission scenario, continuing population trend), the mean probability of occurrence of Scots pine declined slightly (0.33 vs. a current 0.36 across the whole study area) (Fig. 3). However, it increased under the intense land use scenario (0.45) (Fig. 4). The area with a probability of occurrence of Scots pine >0.6 decreased from 8700 to 8000 ha under the climate warming scenario and increased to 8800 ha under climate warming intense land use. The probability of occurrence of Scots pine always declined at lower elevations and increased at higher ones (Fig. 5); mean elevation of simulated presence points shifted from 1328 to 1528 m a.s.l. under climate warming and to 1473 m a.s.l. under climate warming intense land use, i.e., an upward shift of the potential niche of 200 and 145 m, respectively.

Oak increased its probability of occurrence under all scenarios (6100 ha under current conditions, 10,100 ha under climate change only, and 14,700 ha under climate change intense land use). Mean elevation of simulated presence points (probability of occurrence >0.6) shifted from 705 to 922 and 933 m a.s.l., respectively, i.e., an upward shift of 215 and 222 m.

The area of Scots pine pixels classified as decline was 147 in year 2003 and 102 in year 2006. However, in neither year, we observed a significant difference between decline and non-decline pixels in the modeled probability of occurrence of Scots pine (Fig. 6).

4 Discussion

Many processes are at work in determining pine decline. Drought is either a direct or a predisposing factor of mortality (Rebetez and Dobbertin 2004; Choat et al. 2013); also, land use change may eventually result in competitive exclusion of light-demanding Scots pine, and at low elevations, Scots pine reaches more rapidly decay stages, since trees weakened by drought are easily killed by “inciting” or “contributing” biotic agents (Dobbertin et al. 2005; Bigler et al. 2006; Vacchiano et al. 2012).

Climate warming and drought are related (i.e., the frequency of drought spells is expected to increase under climate change: Allen et al. 2010); however, extreme drought events may be more important than average climate trends in determining plant population viability and distribution (Katz and Brown 1992; Bréda and Badeau 2008), and they can induce shifts in species composition and distribution (Jentsch et al. 2007). Published models of Scots pine distribution under scenarios of climate change have produced contrasting results (e.g., Casalegno et al. 2011; Meier et al. 2011), probably as a result of different datasets and processes being included or not in the models (e.g., dispersal constraints, biotic competition, choice of climate, and drought-related variables).

In order to take into account the different factors governing drought sensitivity, we included in our models its meteorological, topographic, and soil-related component. At the resolution and extent analyzed, the probability of occurrence of Scots pine increased under climatic and topographic aridity. This is consistent with the biogeography of the species that forms pure stands in most inner-Alpine valleys such as the study area, preferentially on south-facing slopes and ridge positions (Ozenda 1985). Accordingly, low aridity reduced the probability of presence of Scots pine. In Aosta valley, temperature and precipitation are strongly correlated to elevation (which for this reason was excluded from the analysis); therefore, the AI variable contained also information regarding the upper elevational limits of the habitat suitable for Scots pine.




Fig. 2 Occurrence probability (0–1) of **a** Scots pine and **b** downy oak under current climate. Ensemble model (mean of GLM, MARS, and ANN). Presence points from the regional forest inventory in black

Another important driver of Scots pine occurrence was biotic competition, as expressed by NDVI of the forest canopy. As expected, the early seral pine cannot establish successfully under thick canopy cover (Vickers 2000). In contrast, it can also establish successfully on non-forested land, such as abandoned pastures and meadows (Poyatos et al. 2003), but this process could not be taken into consideration in future simulations, since our correlative models were calibrated on current vegetation conditions only.

In addition to topo-climatic and competition variables that are routinely assessed in SDM, we also evaluated the effect of soil properties (albeit using a coarse resolution and dummy coding) and natural and anthropogenic disturbances (Matias and Jump 2012). Scots pine did not exhibit any soil preference, consistently with its edaphic plasticity (Médail 2001). However, its occurrence was moderately associated to the absence of steep slopes and severe land erosion, which should be adverse to permanent vegetation cover, and to recurring wildfires. Wildfire polygons were not labeled as surface or

crown fires; however, surface fires are more common in the study area, especially at low elevations on south-facing slopes (Vacchiano et al. 2013).

We also evaluated the effect of human land use on species distribution by using proxy variables (Garbarino et al. 2009). Increased population and road density resulted in increased occurrence of Scots pine. Management practices such as timber harvesting, litter collection, and forest grazing may in fact prevent succession to more competitive late-seral species (Weber et al. 2008; Gimmi et al. 2010). The association between pine and population/road density may also be due to recent establishment of Scots pine after agricultural abandonment (Poyatos et al. 2003). Building density was negatively correlated to the probability of occurrence of both Scots pine and downy oak, likely due to the spatial segregation of forests vs. developed or urbanized areas in the main valley.

These factors help explain the response of Scots pine distribution in 2080 under the A1B warming scenario, i.e., a modest reduction of habitat suitability, but a significant




Fig. 3 Occurrence probability (0–1) of **a** Scots pine and **b** downy oak under 2080 climate and current land use scenario. Ensemble model (mean of GLM, MARS, and ANN)




Fig. 4 Occurrence probability (0–1) of **a** Scots pine and **b** downy oak under 2080 climate and intensive land use scenario. Ensemble model (mean of GLM, MARS, and ANN)

540 increase of its optimum elevation. At low elevations, in fact, suggested by the MARS response curve (Garzon et al. 2008). 542
541 aridity could reach the lower limits for the species to persist, as This change is partially counteracted in a scenario where land 543





Fig. 5 Change in probability of occurrence (2080–current) of **a** Scots pine and **b** downy oak for different elevation classes under 2080 climate (*above*) and 2080 climate intensive land use scenario (*below*)

Q14

Fig. 6 Change in probability of occurrence (2080–current) of Scots pine for decline and non-decline pixels in dry years 2003 (left) and 2006 (right), under 2080 climate (above) and 2080 climate intensive land use scenario (below)



544 abandonment is prevented from occurring: in this case, the
 545 probability of occurrence of Scots pine would still decrease at
 546 low elevations but, on average, the human factor could be
 547 sufficient to prevent the decline of Scots pine throughout its
 548 current distribution. This analysis is correlative and does not
 549 explore the physiological and successional processes behind
 550 such land use/climate change tradeoff. However, it is indica-
 551 tive of the fact that land use changes can be as strong as
 552 climate change in determining future species composition
 553 and dominance of mountain forests (Dimböck et al. 2003)
 554 and that they deserve a deeper attention in modeling species'
 555 response to future climate conditions.

556 The distribution of downy oak shared the same topo-
 557 climatic features as Scots pine (high aridity/low elevation,
 558 southern aspects, low erosion, high soil temperature) but
 559 was also associated to lower land use intensity (road density)
 560 and higher soil depth. Canopy density (NDVI) and natural
 561 disturbances were not influential, since downy oak is more
 562 shade-tolerant than pine (Monnier et al. 2013). The response

of downy oak to climate warming was different from Scots
 pine and produced an increased probability of occurrence
 throughout the study region. Previous research has demon-
 strated that downy oak is better adapted than Scots pine to
 both short- and long-term drought, due to its different phys-
 iological responses, i.e., stomata closure, resistance to embo-
 lism, and seedling vitality (Eilmann et al. 2006; Poyatos et al.
 2008; Morán-López et al. 2012).

Population change was not among the most important
 predictors of current downy oak distribution. However, we
 detected a moderate association between population increase
 and higher probability of occurrence of oak. This can be due
 either to the practice of coppicing oaks for firewood or to the
 fact that depopulated areas are located in the remotest part of
 lateral valleys, where elevation and sites are far below opti-
 mum for downy oak.

The use of ensemble modeling is justified by the need to
 reduce model uncertainty due to different modeling ap-
 proaches (Marmion et al. 2003). Ensemble models in

biomod2 are obtained by averaging model prediction and excluding models with low predictive power (AUC <0.75); model predictions are weighted by the AUC of their respective modeling approach. In this study, all three model approaches produced an AUC >0.75. However, differences in importance of explanatory variables and shape of response curves were apparent. MARS are more flexible than GLM as they are fit using piecewise linear splines and are particularly useful when assuming that the shape of species' responses is not linear (Leathwick et al. 2005). ANN, on the other hand, are not based on specific distribution functions of the response. They are robust to noisy and non-linear responses and allow for categorical predictors (such as soil characteristics in this study). Therefore, they are particularly appropriate in an exploratory context. On the other hand, they are sensitive to multicollinearity and prone to overfitting, and interpretation of causal relationships for individual predictors is not straightforward (Manel et al. 1999). The differences are apparent in species response curves (Figure S2), with MARS and ANN capable of detecting non-linear responses to some explanatory variables that were not picked up by GLM, despite a similar predictive performance. This is reflected by the higher importance of some explanatory variables, such as roads, buildings, TPI, or erosion, under models capable of detecting non-linear species responses (Table 2).

Finally, contrary to our expectations, we did not detect any overlap between drought-induced Scots pine decline in years 2003 and 2006 and change in occurrence probability under a warming scenario. Widespread tree mortality can occur under extreme dry spells, but it is uncertain whether one or two extreme years are sufficient to trigger major shifts in forest composition (e.g., Vicente-Serrano et al. 2013). The effect of extreme years on the realized niche of Scots pine will likely depend on the frequency and severity of droughts, rather than on decadal climate means such as the ones we used in our projections. Other parameters might be important in their extreme yearly or seasonal values, such as high precipitation events promoting a new generation after a mortality episode (Matias and Jump 2012), late frost preventing uphill expansion of sensitive species such as downy oak (Burnand 1976), and natural disturbances such as large, stand-replacing fires (Moser et al. 2010).

What is certain, however, is that downy oak is equipped with better adaptations to drought and is likely to replace Scots pine at lower elevations under a warming scenarios, whereby an increased frequency of droughts is to be expected (Dai 2012). Management actions have the potential to mitigate this shift (Vilà-Cabrera et al. 2013), e.g., thinning to 40–60 % initial basal area to mitigate drought effects on Scots pine on xeric sites (Giuggiola et al. 2013). However, effects of management actions must be more thoroughly explored to evaluate tradeoffs with each species' resistance and resilience in the face of climate forcing.

Acknowledgments The authors thank Nicklaus E. Zimmerman, Jordi Martinez Vilalta, and Heike Lischke for the useful suggestions on the modeling approach, Regione Autonoma Valle d'Aosta for data provision, and Daniele Castagneri, Davide Ascoli, and two anonymous reviewers for helping to improve earlier versions of the paper.

Funding Funding to the principal investigator was provided by the DISAFA, University of Torino, and by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research.

References

- Allen CD, Macalady AK, Chenchouni H, Bachelet D, McDowell NG, Venetier M, Kitzberger T, Rigling A, Breshears DD, Hogg EHT, Gonzalez P, Fensham R, Zhang Z, Castro J, Demidova N, Lim JH, Allard G, Running SW, Semerci A, Cobb NS (2010) A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. *For Ecol Manage* 259:660–684
- Araujo M, New M (2007) Ensemble forecasting of species distributions. *Trends Ecol Evol* 22:42–47
- Bagnouls F, Gaussen H (1957) Les climats biologiques et leur classification. *Ann Geophys* 66:193–220
- Biancotti A, Bellardone G, Bovo S, Cagnazzi B, Giacomelli L, Marchisio C (1998) Distribuzione regionale di piogge e temperature. Regione Piemonte, Torino
- Bigler C, Bräker OU, Bugmann H, Dobbertin M, Rigling A (2006) Drought as an inciting mortality factor in Scots pine stands of the Valais, Switzerland. *Ecosystems* 9:330–343
- Bréda N, Badeau V (2008) Forest tree responses to extreme drought and some biotic events: towards a selection according to hazard tolerance? *Compt Rendus Geosci* 340:651–662
- Burnand J (1976) *Quercus pubescens*-Wälder und ihre ökologischen Grenzen im Wallis (Zentralalpen). Dissertation, ETH Zürich
- Burns RM, Honkala BH (1990) *Silvics of North America. Volume 1. Conifers*. USDA Forest Service, Washington DC
- Camerano P, Terzuolo PG, Varese P (2007) I tipi forestali della Valle d'Aosta. Compagnia delle Foreste, Arezzo
- Caplat P, Lepart J, Marty P (2006) Landscape patterns and agriculture: modelling the long-term effects of human practices on *Pinus sylvestris* spatial dynamics (Causse Mejean, France). *Landsc Ecol* 21:657–670
- Carnicer J, Coll M, Pons X, Ninyerola M, Vayreda J, Peñuelas J (2014) Large-scale recruitment limitation in Mediterranean pines: the role of *Quercus ilex* and forest successional advance as key regional drivers. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 23:371–384
- Casalegno S, Amatulli G, Bastrup-Birk A, Durrant TH, Pekkarinen A (2011) Modelling and mapping the suitability of European forest formations at 1-km resolution. *Eur J For Res* 130:971–981
- Chander G, Markham BL, Helder DL (2009) Summary of current radiometric calibration coefficients for Landsat MSS, TM, ETM+, and EO-1 ALI sensors. *Remote Sens Environ* 113:893–903
- Chang C-R, Lee P-F, Bai M-L, Lin T-T (2004) Predicting the geographical distribution of plant communities in complex terrain—a case study in Fushian Experimental Forest, northeastern Taiwan. *Ecography* 27:577–588
- Chmura DJ, Anderson PD, Howe GT, Harrington CA, Halofsky JE, Peterson DL, Shaw DC, St.Clair JB (2011) Forest responses to climate change in the northwestern United States: ecophysiological foundations for adaptive management. *For Ecol Manage* 261:1121–1142
- Choat B, Jansen S, Brodribb TJ, Cochard H, Delzon S, Bhaskar R, Bucci SJ, Feild TS, Gleason SM, Hacke UG, Jacobsen AL, Lens F, Maherali H, Martínez-Vilalta J, Mayr S, Mencuccini M, Mitchell

- 697 PJ, Nardini A, Pittermann J, Pratt RB, Sperry JS, Westoby M,
698 Wright IJ, Zanne AE (2013) Global convergence in the vulnerability
699 of forests to drought. *Nature* 491:752–755
- 700 Costantini EAC, Urbano F, L'Abate G (2004) Soil regions of Italy. CRA-
701 ISSDS, Firenze
- 702 Dahl E (1998) The phytogeography of northern Europe: British Isles.
703 Fennoscandia and adjacent areas. Cam-bridge University Press,
704 Cambridge
- 705 Dai A (2012) Increasing drought under global warming in observations
706 and models. *Nature Clim Change* 3:52–58
- 707 Debain S, Curt T, Lepart J, Prevosto B (2003) Reproductive variability in
708 *Pinus sylvestris* in southern France: implications for invasion. *J Veg*
709 *Sci* 14:509–516
- 710 Dirnböck T, Dullinger S, Grabherr G (2003) A regional impact assess-
711 ment of climate and land-use change on alpine vegetation. *J*
712 *Biogeogr* 30:401–417
- 713 Dobbertin M, Mayer P, Wohlgemuth T, Feldmeyer-Christe E, Graf U,
714 Zimmermann NE, Rigling A (2005) The decline of *Pinus sylvestris*
715 L. forests in the Swiss Rhone valley—a result of drought stress?
716 *Phyton* 45:153–156
- 717 Dobbertin M, Wermelinger B, Bigler C, Bürgi M, Carron M, Forster B,
718 Gimmi U, Rigling A (2007) Linking increasing drought stress to Scots
719 pine mortality and bark beetle infestations. *Sci World J* 7:231–239
- 720 Eilmann B, Weber P, Rigling A, Eckstein D (2006) Growth reactions of
721 *Pinus sylvestris* L. and *Quercus pubescens* Willd. to drought years at
722 a xeric site in Valais, Switzerland. *Dendrochronol* 23:121–132
- 723 Eilmann B, Zweifel R, Buchmann N, Fonti P, Rigling A (2009) Drought-
724 induced adaptation of the xylem in Scots pine and pubescent oak.
725 *Tree Physiol* 29:1011–1020
- 726 Eilmann B, Zweifel R, Buchmann N, Graf Pannatier E, Rigling A (2011)
727 Drought alters timing, quantity, and quality of wood formation in
728 Scots pine. *J Exp Bot* 62:2763–2771
- 729 Elith J, Leathwick JR (2009) Species distribution models: ecological
730 explanation and prediction across space and time. *Annu Rev Ecol*
731 *Evol Syst* 40:677–697
- 732 European Environment Agency (2013) Corine land cover 1990 raster
733 data, version 17. [online] URL: [http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-](http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/data/corine-land-cover-1990-raster-3)
734 [maps/data/corine-land-cover-1990-raster-3](http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/data/corine-land-cover-1990-raster-3). Last accessed:
735 November 4, 2014
- 736 European Soil Bureau (1999) The European Soil Database, version 1.0.
737 CD-ROM. EU Joint Research Centre, Ispra
- 738 Farrell EP, Führer E, Ryan D, Andersson F, Hüttl RF, Piussi P (2000)
739 European forest ecosystems: building the future on the legacy of the
740 past. *For Ecol Manage* 132:5–20
- 741 Fronzek S, Carter TR, Jylhä K (2011) Representing two centuries of past
742 and future climate for assessing risks to biodiversity in Europe. *Glob*
743 *Ecol Biogeogr* 21:19–35
- 744 Fung T, Ledrew E (1988) The determination of optimal threshold levels
745 for change detection using various accuracy indices. *Photogramm*
746 *Eng Remote Sens* 54:1449–1454
- Q1747 Fung T, Siu W (2000) Environmental quality and its changes, an analysis
748 using NDVI. *Int J Remote Sens* 21:1011–1024
- 749 Galiano L, Martínez-Vilalta J, Lloret F (2010) Drought-induced multi-
750 factor decline of Scots pine in the Pyrenees and potential vegetation
751 change by the expansion of co-occurring oak species. *Ecosystems*
752 13:978–991
- 753 Galiano L, Martínez-Vilalta J, Eugenio M, Granzow-De La Cerda Í,
754 Lloret F (2013) Seedling emergence and growth of *Quercus* spp.
755 following severe drought effects on a *Pinus sylvestris* canopy. *J Veg*
756 *Sci* 24:580–588
- 757 Garbarino M, Weisberg PJ, Motta R (2009) Interacting effects of physical
758 environment and anthropogenic disturbances on the structure of
759 European larch (*Larix decidua* Mill.) forests. *For Ecol Manage*
760 257:1794–1802
- 761 Garzon MB, Sanchez de Dios R, Sainz Ollero H (2008) The evolution of
762 the *Pinus sylvestris* L. area in the Iberian Peninsula from the last
glacial maximum to 2100 under climate change. *The Holocene* 18: 763
764 705–714
- Gasparini P, Tabacchi G (2011) L'Inventario Nazionale delle Foreste e dei
765 serbatoi forestali di Carbonio INFC 2005. Secondo inventario
766 forestale nazionale italiano. Metodi e risultati. Ministero delle
767 Politiche Agricole, Alimentari e Forestali; Corpo Forestale dello
768 Stato. Consiglio per la Ricerca e la Sperimentazione in
769 Agricoltura, Unità di ricerca per il Monitoraggio e la
770 Pianificazione Forestale. Edagricole-Il Sole 24 ore, Bologna.
- 771 Gimmi U, Bürgi M, Stuber M (2007) Reconstructing anthropogenic
772 disturbance regimes in forest ecosystems: a case study from the
773 Swiss Rhone valley. *Ecosystems* 11:113–124
- 774 Gimmi U, Wohlgemuth T, Rigling A, Hoffmann CW, Bürgi M (2010)
775 Land-use and climate change effects in forest compositional trajec-
776 tories in a dry central-alpine valley. *Ann For Sci* 67:701p1–701p9
- 777 Giuggiola A, Bugmann H, Zingg A, Dobbertin M, Rigling A (2013)
778 Reduction of stand density increases drought resistance in xeric
779 Scots pine forests. *For Ecol Manage* 310:827–835
- 780 Gonthier P, Giordano L, Nicolotti G (2010) Further observations on
781 sudden diebacks of Scots pine in the European Alps. *For Chron*
782 86:110–117
- 783 Guisan A, Weiss SB, Weiss AD (1999) GLM versus CCA spatial model-
784 ing of plant species distribution. *Plant Ecol* 143:107–122
- 785 Hijmans RJ, Cameron SE, Parra JL, Jones PG, Jarvis A (2005) Very high
786 resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *Int J*
787 *Climatol* 25:1965–1978
- 788 ISTAT (2012) 14mo censimento generale della popolazione e delle
789 abitazioni. [online] URL: <http://dawinci.istat.it/MD/>. Last
790 accessed: November 4, 2014
- 791 Jentsch A, Kreyling J, Beierkuhnlein C (2007) A new generation of
792 climate-change experiments: events, not trends. *Frontiers Ecol*
793 *Environ* 5:365–374
- 794 Katz RW, Brown BG (1992) Extreme events in a changing climate:
795 variability is more important than averages. *Clim Change* 21:289–
796 302
- 797 Kräuchi N, Brang P, Schonenberger W (2000) Forests of mountainous
798 regions: gaps in knowledge and research needs. *For Ecol Manage*
799 132:73–82
- 800 Leathwick JR, Rowe D, Richardson J, Elith J, Hastie T (2005) Using
801 multivariate adaptive regression splines to predict the distributions
802 of New Zealand's freshwater diadromous fish. *Freshwater Biol* 50:
803 2034–2052
- 804 Manel S, Dias JM, Buckton ST, Ormerod SJ (1999) Alternative methods
805 for predicting species distribution: an illustration with Himalayan
806 river birds. *J Appl Ecol* 36:734–747
- 807 Marmion M, Parviainen M, Luoto M, Heikkinen RK, Thuiller W (2003)
808 Evaluation of consensus methods in predictive species distribution
809 modeling. *Divers Distrib* 15:59–69
- 810 Martínez-Vilalta J, Piñol J (2002) Drought-induced mortality and hydraulic
811 architecture in pine populations of the NE Iberian Peninsula. *For*
812 *Ecol Manage* 161:247–256
- 813 Matias L, Jump AS (2012) Interactions between growth, demography and
814 biotic interactions in determining species range limits in a warming
815 world: the case of *Pinus sylvestris*. *For Ecol Manage* 282:10–22
- 816 Matias L, Jump AS (2013) Impacts of predicted climate change on
817 recruitment at the geographical limits of Scots pine. *J Exp Bot*, in
818 press. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert376
- 819 Médail F (2001) Biogéographie, écologie et valeur patrimoniale des
820 forêts de pin sylvestre (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) en région
821 méditerranéenne. *For Médit* 22:5–22
- 822 Meier ES, Lischke H, Schmatz DR, Zimmermann NE (2011) Climate,
823 competition and connectivity affect future migration and ranges of
824 European trees. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 21:164–178
- 825 Mirov NT (1967) The genus *Pinus*. Ronald Press Company, New York
- 826 Monnier Y, Bousquet-Mélou A, Vila B, Prevosto B, Fernandez C (2013)
827 How nutrient availability influences acclimation to shade of two
828

- 829 (pioneer and late-successional) Mediterranean tree species? Eur J
830 For Res 132:325–333
- 831 Morán-López T, Poyatos R, Llorens P, Sabate S (2012) Effects of past
832 growth trends and current water use strategies on Scots pine and
833 pubescent oak drought sensitivity. Trees 133:369–382
- 834 Moriondo M, Good P, Durao R, Bindi M, Giannakopoulos C, Corte-Real
835 J (2006) Potential impact of climate change on fire risk in the
836 Mediterranean area. Clim Res 31:85–95
- 837 Morisette JT, Khorram S (2000) Accuracy assessment curves for satellite-
838 based change detection. Photogramm Eng Remote Sens 66:875–
839 880
- 840 Moser B, Temperli C, Schneiter G, Wohlgemuth T (2010) Potential shift
841 in tree species composition after interaction of fire and drought in the
842 Central Alps. Eur J For Res 129:625–633
- 843 Nardini A, Pitt F (1999) Drought resistance of *Quercus pubescens* as a
844 function of root hydraulic conductance, xylem embolism and hy-
845 draulic architecture. New Phytol 143:485–493
- 846 Oberhuber W, Swidrak I, Pirkebner D, Gruber A (2011) Temporal dy-
847 namics of nonstructural carbohydrates and xylem growth in *Pinus*
848 *sylvestris* exposed to drought. Can J For Res 41:1590–1597
- 849 Ozenda P (1985) La végétation de la chaîne alpine dans l'espace monta-
850 gnard européen. Masson, Paris
- 851 Peel MC, Finlayson BL, McMahon TA (2007) Updated world map of the
852 Köppen-Geiger climate classification. Hydrol Earth Sys Sci 11:
853 1633–1644
- 854 Pettolelli N, Vik JO, Mysterud A, Gaillard J-M, Tucker CJ, Stenseth NC
855 (2005) Using the satellite-derived NDVI to assess ecological re-
856 sponses to environmental change. Trends Ecol Evol 20:503–510
- 857 Picon-Cochard C, Coll L, Balandier P (2006) The role of below-ground
858 competition during early stages of secondary succession: the case of
859 3-year-old Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) seedlings in an abandoned
860 grassland. Oecologia 148:373–383
- 861 Poyatos R, Latron J, Llorens P (2003) Land use and land cover change
862 after agricultural abandonment: the case of a Mediterranean moun-
863 tain area (Catalan Pre-Pyrenees). Mt Res Dev 23:362–368
- 864 Poyatos R, Llorens P, Piñol J, Rubio C (2008) Response of Scots pine
865 (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) and pubescent oak (*Quercus pubescens* Willd.)
866 to soil and atmospheric water deficits under Mediterranean moun-
867 tain climate. Ann For Sci 65:306. doi: 10.1051/forest:2008003
- 868 Development Core Team R (2013) R: a language and environment for
869 statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing,
870 Vienna
- 871 Raible CC, Casty C, Luterbacher J, Pauling A, Esper J, Frank DC,
872 Büntgen U, Roesch AC, Tschuck P, Wild M, Vidale P-L, Schär C,
873 Wanner H (2006) Climate variability-observations, reconstructions,
874 and model simulations for the Atlantic-European and Alpine region
875 from 1500–2100 AD. Clim Change 79:9–29
- 876 Rebetez M, Dobbervin M (2004) Climate change may already threaten
877 Scots pine stands in the Swiss Alps. Theor Appl Climatol 79:1–9
- 878 Richardson DM (1998) Ecology and biogeography of *Pinus*. Cambridge
879 University Press, Cambridge
- 880 Rigling A, Eilmann B, Koechli R, Dobbervin M (2010) Mistletoe-induced
881 crown degradation in Scots pine in a xeric environment. Tree
882 Physiol 30:845–852
- 883 Rigling A, Bigler C, Eilmann B, Feldmeyer-Christe E, Gimmi U, Ginzler
884 C, Graf U, Mayer P, Vacchiano G, Weber P, Wohlgemuth T, Zweifel
885 R, Dobbervin M (2013) Driving factors of a vegetation shift from
886 Scots pine to pubescent oak in dry alpine forests. Glob Change Biol
887 19:229–240
- 888 Rohde K (2005) Nonequilibrium ecology. Cambridge University Press,
889 Cambridge
- 890 Sakai A, Okada S (1971) Freezing resistance of conifers. Silvae Genetica
891 20:91–97
- 892 Sangüesa-Barreda G, Linares JC, Camarero JJ (2013) Drought and mis-
893 tletoe reduce growth and water-use efficiency of Scots pine. For
894 Ecol Manage 296:1–10
- 895 Sexton JO, Song X-P, Feng M, Noojipady P, Anand A, Huang C, Kim D-
896 H, Collins KM, Channan S, DiMiceli C, Townshend JR (2013)
897 Global, 30-m resolution continuous fields of tree cover: Landsat-
898 based rescaling of MODIS vegetation continuous fields with lidar-
899 based estimates of error. International Journal of Digital Earth 6:
900 427–448
- 901 Singh BP, Cowie AL, Chan KY (2011) Soil health and climate change.
902 Springer, Berlin
- 903 Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ (1995) The principles and practice of statistics in
904 biological research. Freeman and Co, New York
- 905 Sterck FJ, Zweifel R, Sass-Klaassen U, Chowdhury Q (2008) Persisting
906 soil drought reduces leaf specific conductivity in Scots pine (*Pinus*
907 *sylvestris*) and pubescent oak (*Quercus pubescens*). Tree Physiol 28:
908 529–536
- 909 Thabebet A, Vennetier M, Gadbin-Henry C, Denelle N, Roux M, Caraglio
910 Y, Vila B (2010) Response of *Pinus sylvestris* L. to recent climatic
911 events in the French Mediterranean region. Trees 23:843–853
- 912 Thuiller W, Georges D, Engler R (2013) Package biomod2. [http://cran.
913 open-source-solution.org/web/packages/biomod2](http://cran.open-source-solution.org/web/packages/biomod2). Accessed 7 Dec
914 2013
- 915 Tucker CJ (1979) Red and photographic infrared linear combina-
916 tions for monitoring vegetation. Remote Sens Environ 8:127–
917 150
- 918 Urbieto IR, García LV, Zavala MA, Marañón T (2011) Mediterranean
919 pine and oak distribution in southern Spain: is there a mismatch
920 between regeneration and adult distribution? J Veg Sci 22:18–31
- 921 Vacchiano G, Garbarino M, Borgogno Mondino E, Motta R (2012)
922 Evidences of drought stress as a predisposing factor to Scots pine
923 decline in Valle d'Aosta (Italy). Eur J For Res 131:989–1000
- 924 Vacchiano G, Motta R, Long JN, Shaw JD (2008) A density management
925 diagram for Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.): a tool for assessing the
926 forest's protective effect. For Ecol Manage 255:2542–2554
- 927 Vacchiano G, Lonati M, Berretti R, Motta R (2013) Drivers of *Pinus*
928 *sylvestris* L. regeneration following small, high-severity fire in a dry,
929 inner-alpine valley. Plant Biosystems, in press. doi: 10.1080/
930 11263504.2013.819821
- 931 Vicente-Serrano SM, Gouveia C, Camarero JJ, Beguería S, Trigo R,
932 López-Moreno JI, Azorin-Molina C, Pasho E, Lorenzo-Lacruz J,
933 Revuelto J, Morán-Tejeda E, Sanchez-Lorenzo A (2013) Response
934 of vegetation to drought time-scales across global land biomes. Proc
935 Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:52–57
- 936 Vickers AD (2000) The influence of canopy cover and other
937 factors upon the regeneration of Scots pine and its associated
938 ground flora within Glen Tanar National Nature Reserve.
939 Forestry 73:37–49
- 940 Vilà-Cabrera A, Rodrigo A, Martínez-Vilalta J, Retana J (2011) Lack of
941 regeneration and climatic vulnerability to fire of Scots pine may
942 induce vegetation shifts at the southern edge of its distribution. J
943 Biogeogr 39:488–496
- 944 Vilà-Cabrera A, Martínez-Vilalta J, Galiano L, Retana J (2013) Patterns
945 of forest decline and regeneration across Scots pine populations.
946 Ecosystems 16:323–335
- 947 Weber P, Rigling A, Bugmann H (2008) Sensitivity of stand dynamics to
948 grazing in mixed *Pinus sylvestris* and *Quercus pubescens* forests: a
949 modelling study. Ecol Model 210:301–311
- 950 Wiens JJ, Ackerly DD, Allen AP, Anacker BL, Buckley LB, Cornell HV,
951 Damschen EI, Jonathan Davies T, Grytnes JA, Harrison SP,
952 Hawkins BA, Holt RD, McCain CM, Stephens PR (2010) Niche
953 conservatism as an emerging principle in ecology and conservation
954 biology. Ecol Letters 13:1310–1324
- 955 Zavala MA, Zea E (2004) Mechanisms maintaining biodiversity in
956 Mediterranean pine-oak forests: insights from a spatial simulation
957 model. Plant Ecol 171:197–207
- 958 Zweifel R, Rigling A, Dobbervin M (2009) Species-specific stomatal
959 response of trees to drought—a link to vegetation dynamics? J
960 Veg Sci 20:442–454

AUTHOR QUERIES**AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES.**

- Q1. Springer offers the possibility to send copies of your article to colleagues. Please supply up to 10 e-mail addresses of colleagues, and we will send a PDF copy of your paper to each of them.
- Q2. Please check author name(s) and affiliation(s) if captured and presented correctly.
- Q3. "Key Message" section is mandatory. Kindly provide at the start of the "Abstract" section.
- Q4. The statement of study purpose was modified. Kindly check if appropriate.
- Q5. Kindly check the expansion provided for "SRES" if appropriate.
- Q6. Kindly check the insertion of "were created" in the sentence "Ensemble distribution models were created using climate..." if appropriate.
- Q7. "Gobet et al. 2003" is cited in the text but not given in the reference list. Please provide details in the list or delete the citation from the text.
- Q8. "Tetrarca et al. 1999" is cited in the text but not given in the reference list. Please provide details in the list or delete the citation from the text.
- Q9. Kindly check the insertion of "include" in the sentence "In fact, we decided to exclusively include presence/absence of pine..." if appropriate.
- Q10. "Zimmermann et al. (2007)" is cited in the text but not given in the reference list. Please provide details in the list or delete the citation from the text.
- Q11. The hyphen in the sentence "In order to distinguish reflectance anomalies from random or systematic error..." was changed to em dash. Kindly check if appropriate.
- Q12. Kindly check captured Table 1 if correct.
- Q13. Kindly check the insertion of " m a.s.l." in the sentence "The probability of occurrence of Scots pine always declined at lower..." if appropriate.
- Q14. Kindly consider adding additional information for the "white circles" shown in the artworks of Figs. 5 and 6.
- Q15. Kindly check the modifications made in the "Acknowledgments" statement if appropriate.
- Q16. The following references are not cited in text:> Fung T, Siu W. Environmental quality and its changes, an analysis using NDVI. *Int J Remote Sens.* 2000;21:1011–24.> Matías L, Jump AS (2013) Impacts of predicted climate change on recruitment at the geographical limits of Scots pine. *J Exp Bot*, in press. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert376. Kindly provide citations in the text; otherwise, delete the mentioned references in the reference list.
- Q17. Fung & Siu (2000) was not cited anywhere in the text. Please provide a citation. Alternatively, delete the item from the list.
- Q18. Matías & Jump (2013) was not cited anywhere in the text. Please provide a citation. Alternatively, delete the item from the list.